| 1   | Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)<br>kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com       | Steven Cherny (admitted pro hac vice) steven.cherny@kirkland.com |
|-----|---|--|
| 2   | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  | KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP   |
| 2   | SULLIVAN LLP<br>51 Madison Avenue, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor                 | 601 Lexington Avenue<br>New York, New York 10022                 |
| 3   | New York, NY 10010  | Telephone: (212) 446-4800  |
| 4   | Telephone: (212) 849-7000<br>Facsimile: (212) 849-7100                    | Facsimile: (212) 446-4900  |
| 5   | Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)  | Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834) adam.alper@kirkland.com               |
| 6   | seanpak@quinnemanuel.com  | KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 555 California Street                       |
| 7   | Amy H. Candido (SBN 237829)<br>amycandido@quinnemanuel.com                | San Francisco, California 94104                                  |
| 8   | John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)<br>johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.               | Telephone: (415) 439-1400<br>Facsimile: (415) 439-1500           |
| 9   | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &<br>SULLIVAN LLP                                  | Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)                                 |
|     | 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor                              | michael.devries@kirkland.com<br>KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP             |
| 10  | San Francisco, CA 94111<br>Telephone: (415) 875-6600                      | 333 South Hope Street  |
| 11  | Facsimile: (415) 875-6700   | Los Angeles, California 90071                                    |
| 12  | Mark Tung (SBN 245782)  | Telephone: (213) 680-8400<br>Facsimile: (213) 680-8500           |
| 13  | marktung@quinnemanuel.com<br>QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &                     |  |
|     | SULLIVAN LLP  |  |
| 14  | 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor<br>Redwood Shores, CA 94065 |  |
| 15  | Telephone: (650) 801-5000   |  |
| 16  | Facsimile: (650) 801-5100   |  |
| 17  | Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.                               |  |
| 18  | LINITED STATES  | DISTRICT COURT   |
| 19  |   | DISTRICT COURT   |
| 20  | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA   | LIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION                                      |
| 21  |   |  |
|     | CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,  | CASE NO. 5:14-cv-5344-BLF (PSG)                                  |
| 22  | , ,   | DECLARATION OF ANDREW M.   |
| 23  | Plaintiff,  | HOLMES IN SUPPORT OF CISCO<br>SYSTEMS, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE     |
| 24  | vs.   | MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION IN            |
| 25  | ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,  | EXHIBITS TO CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO ARISTA'S MOTION  |
| 26  | Defendant.  | TO STAY  |
| 27  |   | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL  |
| 28  |   |  |
| - 1 | I   |  |

## **DECLARATION OF ANDREW M. HOLMES**

I, Andrew Holmes, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an associate with the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.
- 2. I make this declaration in support of Cisco's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Confidential Information in connection with Cisco's Opposition to Arista's Partially Unopposed Motion to Stay Patent Claims Pending *Inter Partes* Review. I make this declaration in accordance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) on behalf of Cisco to confirm that the information contained in the documents referenced in the Sealing Motion should be sealed.
- 3. Cisco's Brief is non-dispositive. For pleadings attached to a non-dispositive motion, this Court has held that the party seeking sealing must provide "compelling reasons" to justify sealing. *See Delphix Corp. v. Actifio, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-04613-BLF, 2014 WL 415520, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2014). "Compelling reasons" exist to seal information that, if disclosed, would damage a party's ability to compete in the marketplace. *See In re Elec. Arts, Inc.*, 298 F. App'x 568, 569 (9th Cir. 2008). In addition, Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" ( *i.e.*, that the document is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.
- 4. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(e), Cisco requests to seal the document identified herein only because the information sought to be sealed has been designated by Defendant Arista Networks, Inc. ("Arista") as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE" and/or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order (Dkt. 53):

| 1  |    |
|----|----|
| 2  |    |
| 3  |    |
| 4  |    |
| 5  |    |
| 6  |    |
| 7  |    |
| 8  |    |
| 9  |    |
| 10 |    |
| 11 |    |
| 12 |    |
| 13 | in |
| 14 | th |
| 15 | O  |
| 16 | "F |
| 17 | A' |
| 18 |    |
| 19 | ex |
| 20 | R  |
| 21 | do |
| 22 |    |
| 23 |    |
| 24 |    |
|    |    |

| Document  | Portions to Be Filed Under Seal |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Ex. 1 to the Declaration of<br>John Neukom in Support<br>of Cisco's Opposition to<br>Arista's Partially<br>Unopposed Motion to<br>Stay Patent Claims<br>Pending <i>Inter Partes</i><br>Review | Entire                          |
| Ex. 2 to the Declaration of<br>John Neukom in Support<br>of Cisco's Opposition to<br>Arista's Partially<br>Unopposed Motion to<br>Stay Patent Claims<br>Pending <i>Inter Partes</i><br>Review | Entire                          |

5. Exhibits 1 and 2 are copies of Cisco's infringement contentions. These infringement contentions contain pictures of and quotations from documents produced by Arista in this case, including Arista's highly confidential source code. Under the Stipulated Protective Order governing this litigation, Dkt. 53 at 6 fn. 1, Arista has designated these documents as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE" and/or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL—ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

6. Cisco will serve a copy of this declaration on Arista the same day it is filed. Cisco expects that Arista will file the required supporting declaration in accordance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(e), as necessary, to confirm that the information contained in the above-referenced documents should be sealed.

25

26

27

28

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San Francisco, California, on June 6, 2016. /s/ Andrew M. Holmes Andrew M. Holmes